

A REPORT BY  
THE 2017-2018 CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY  
725 Court Street  
Martinez, California 94553

**Report 1801**

**COMPLIANCE AND CONTINUITY  
REPORT**

Contact:  
Mario Gutierrez  
Grand Jury Foreperson  
925-389-1556

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1702	EMERGENCY MASS CARE AND SHELTER Are We Ready?	3
1703	MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FOR AT-RISK CHILDREN IN CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	5
1704	SELECTION OF BALLOT MEASURE ARGUMENTS	6
1705	FUNDING FLOOD CONTROL INFRASTRUCTURE	8
1706	FUNDING THE EAST CONTRA COSTA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	9
1707	HOMELESSNESS IN THE CITIES	11
1708	ANIMAL SHELTER SERVICES IN ANTIOCH AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	15
1709	CONCORD NAVAL WEAPONS STATION REUSE Management and Reporting	18
1710	LAW ENFORCEMENT USE OF FORCE AND MENTAL HEALTH AWARENESS	20
1711	ALAMO PARKS PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT	21
1712	MORE SHELTER BEDS NEEDED FOR THE HOMELESS IN CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	22

# CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY REPORT 1801

## COMPLIANCE AND CONTINUITY REPORT

The Contra Costa County Civil Grand Jury is impaneled annually to investigate city and county government, special districts and certain non-profit corporations to ensure that their functions are performed in a lawful, economical and efficient manner. Findings and recommendations developed from these investigations are contained in the reports signed by the Civil Grand Jury Foreperson and the Grand Jury Judge. Responses to these reports must be made within certain time constraints and in accordance with specific formats pursuant to 933 and 933.05 of the California Penal Code. These responses to the recommendations must include one of the following legally permitted options:

- The recommendation has been implemented
- The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future
- The recommendation requires further analysis
- The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

The 2017-2018 Civil Grand Jury reviewed 11 reports from the 2016-2017 Civil Grand Jury. There were 36 letters, with copies of applicable reports, mailed to the different entities from which responses were required. These 11 reports made a cumulative total of 155 recommendations to the various recipients, of which 77 responses (50%) stated that the recommendation(s) have been or will shortly be implemented and 22 (14%) responses stated that the recommendation required further analysis. For further explanation and clarification of subject responses to recommendations, refer to their full responses posted online. Responses to the 2016-2017 Grand Jury reports are posted on the Contra Costa County Grand Jury Website in their entirety and can be viewed at:

<http://www.cc-courts.org/civil/grand-jury-reports.aspx>

The Grand Jury believes it is important for future Grand Juries to continue to review these responses and to be vigilant in seeing that recommendations that have been accepted and are implemented. In this manner, the commitment and hard work of past and future Grand Juries will result in positive changes for the citizens of Contra Costa County.

<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY REPORT 1702</b> <b>EMERGENCY MASS CARE AND SHELTER</b> <b>Are We Ready?</b>
---

**Recommendation #1: The Sheriff's Office of Emergency Services (OES) should consider creating a schedule for updating the Bay Area Earthquake Plan operational annexes by the end of 2017.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Sheriff	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

**Recommendation #2: The Board of Supervisors should consider identifying funds by July 1, 2018, to permit Sheriff Office of Emergency Services (OES) and the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department (EHSD) to coordinate and complete the Mass Care and Shelter Plan according to the schedule submitted by the Sheriff OES.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future
Contra Costa County Sheriff	The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future

**Recommendation #3: The Sheriff's Office of Emergency Services should consider developing a written Mass Care and Shelter protocol by July 1, 2018, that covers selection, inspection, opening a shelter, and identifying funds to do so.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Sheriff	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

**Recommendation #4: The Sheriff's Office of Emergency Services should consider including shelter selection, inspection, and preparation when it establishes the Emergency Operations Center for a simulated disaster as part of exercises.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Sheriff	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

**Recommendation #5: The Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department should consider access to view the National Shelter Services Program (NSS) list by the end of 2017.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department	The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future

**Recommendation #6: The Sheriff's Office of Emergency Services should consider providing training to the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department on how to use the National Shelter Services Program after the EHSD is granted access to view the NSS list.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Sheriff	The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future

**Recommendation #7: The Employment and Human Services Department (EHSD) should consider periodic reviews of the currency of the data and make update recommendations and corrections to the American Red Cross after EHSD is granted access to view the NSS list.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Sheriff	Does not apply to the Office of the Sheriff

**Recommendation #8: The Sheriff's Office of Emergency Services and American Red Cross should consider inviting the Employment and Human Services Department to their regular meetings regarding earthquake planning and review of associated policies.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Sheriff	The recommendation has been implemented

**Recommendation #9: The Sheriff's Office of Emergency Services should consider reviewing its plans to coordinate local jurisdictions, EHSD and the American Red Cross during an emergency, and develop procedures and provide training where deficiencies are identified.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Sheriff	The recommendation has been implemented

**CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY REPORT 1703**  
**MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FOR AT-RISK CHILDREN**  
**IN CONTRA COSTA COUNTY**

***Recommendation #1: The Board of Supervisors should consider identifying funds to add six psychiatrists at the three regional mental health clinics.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation requires further analysis

***Recommendation #2: The Board of Supervisors should consider directing Human Resources to review the compensation packages for County psychiatrists to ensure their compensation packages are competitive compared with the private market.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

***Recommendation #3: The Board of Supervisors should consider directing BHS to redeploy therapists with a view to a more equitable ratio of children per therapist among the County's three mental health clinics.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation has been implemented

***Recommendation #4: The Board of Supervisors should consider identifying funds to enable BHS to review and improve systems related to the real-time availability of CBO's and individual private therapists for mental health service appointments.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

***Recommendation #5: The Board of Supervisors should consider directing BHS to monitor and report on the wait times for mental health treatment for at-risk children.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation has been implemented

<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY REPORT 1704</b> <b>SELECTION OF BALLOT MEASURE ARGUMENTS</b>
---

***Recommendation #1: Prior to the next election, the Registrar should consider developing internal procedures to clarify the process for selecting between multiple ballot arguments of the same priority.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Clerk-Recorder Registrar	The recommendation has been implemented

***Recommendation #2: In the next and subsequent revisions of the Argument Guide, the Registrar should consider including procedures that clarify the process for selecting between multiple ballot arguments of the same priority.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Clerk-Recorder Registrar	The recommendation has been implemented

***Recommendation #3: Prior to the next election, the Registrar should consider developing internal procedures to facilitate collaboration between parties that file competing ballot arguments.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Clerk-Recorder Registrar	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

***Recommendation #4: In the next and subsequent revisions of the Argument Guide, the Registrar should consider including procedures to facilitate collaboration between parties that file competing ballot arguments.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Clerk-Recorder Registrar	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

***Recommendation #5: Prior to the next election, the Registrar should consider developing internal procedures by which an association of citizens can establish its bona fide status.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Clerk-Recorder Registrar	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

**Recommendation #6: In the next and subsequent revisions of the Argument Guide, the Registrar should consider including procedures by which an association of citizens can establish its bona fide status.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Clerk-Recorder Registrar	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable



<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY REPORT 1705</b> <b>FUNDING FLOOD CONTROL INFRASTRUCTURE</b>
--

***Recommendation #1: The County Board of Supervisors, as the Governing Board of the Flood Control and Water Conservation District, should consider continuing to pursue efforts to educate elected officials about the urgency of passing the California Water Conservation, Flood Control and Storm Water Management Act.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation has been implemented

***Recommendation #2: The County Board of Supervisors, as the Governing Board of the Flood Control and Water Conservation District, should consider identifying funds to increase the Flood Control maintenance budget to begin reducing the deferred maintenance backlog, prior to January 2018.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation has been implemented

***Recommendation #3: The County Board of Supervisors, as the Governing Board of the Flood Control and Water Conservation District, should consider identifying funds to begin building reserves to fund the reconstruction of the County flood control system prior to January 2018.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation has been implemented

***Recommendation #4: The County Board of Supervisors, as the Governing Board of the Flood Control and Water Conservation District, should consider instructing Flood Control staff to prepare plans for a County wide campaign to educate the public on the need to replace the infrastructure.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation has been implemented

**CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY REPORT 1706**  
**FUNDING THE EAST CONTRA COSTA FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT**

***Recommendation #1: The ECCFPD Board should consider continuing to place tax measures on the ballot that would provide funding to reopen fire stations.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
East Contra Costa Fire Protection District Board	The recommendation requires further analysis

***Recommendation #2: The ECCFPD Board should consider undertaking market research to better understand how to motivate potential voters to approve ballot measures that would raise funds to increase the number of operating fire stations.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
East Contra Costa Fire Protection District Board	The recommendation requires further analysis

***Recommendation #3: The ECCFPD Board should consider appointing a district-wide task force to research possible funding opportunities to benefit the fire district and make recommendations to the ECCFPD Board.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
East Contra Costa Fire Protection District Board	The recommendation requires further analysis

***Recommendation #4: The ECCFPD Board should consider supporting legislation to reallocate property tax revenues from one or more local agencies to ECCFPD.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
East Contra Costa Fire Protection District Board	The recommendation requires further analysis

***Recommendation #5: The ECCFPD Board should consider negotiating with local agencies to voluntarily transfer a portion of their property tax to ECCFPD.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
East Contra Costa Fire Protection District Board	The recommendation has been implemented

***Recommendation #6: The City should consider adopting a policy to collect impact fees from all developers of residential and commercial properties to fund capital improvements that will be needed to fund future stations.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Brentwood City Council	The recommendation has been implemented
Oakley City Council	The recommendation has been implemented

**Recommendation #7: The County should consider adopting a policy to collect impact fees from all developers of residential and commercial properties to fund capital improvements that will be needed to fund future stations.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

**Recommendation #8: The City should consider adopting a policy to enter agreements with all developers to establish Community Facility Districts to provide operating revenue for ECCFPD.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Brentwood City Council	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
Oakley City Council	The recommendation requires further analysis

**Recommendation #9: The County should consider adopting a policy to enter into agreements with all developers to establish Community Facility Districts to provide operating revenue for ECCFPD.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY REPORT 1707</b> <b>HOMELESSNESS IN THE CITIES</b>
--

***Recommendation #1: The city should consider establishing CORE teams either by partnering with one or more cities in the region or by funding its own team.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
City of Antioch	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Brentwood	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Clayton	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Concord	The recommendation has been implemented
Town of Danville	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of El Cerrito	The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future
City of Hercules	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Lafayette	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Martinez	The recommendation has been implemented
Town of Moraga	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Oakley	The recommendation requires further analysis
City of Orinda	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Pinole	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Pleasant Hill	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Pittsburg	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Richmond	The recommendation requires further analysis
City of San Pablo	The recommendation requires further analysis
City of San Ramon	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Walnut Creek	The recommendation has been implemented

**Recommendation #2: The City should consider providing incentives for developers to construct housing for the extremely low income, very low income, and homeless populations.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
City of Antioch	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Brentwood	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Clayton	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Concord	The recommendation has been implemented
Town of Danville	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of El Cerrito	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Hercules	The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future
City of Lafayette	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Martinez	The recommendation requires further analysis
Town of Moraga	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Oakley	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Orinda	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Pinole	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Pleasant Hill	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Pittsburg	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Richmond	The recommendation has been implemented
City of San Pablo	The recommendation has been implemented
City of San Ramon	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Walnut Creek	The recommendation has been implemented

**Recommendation #3: The City should consider using Successor Agency funds, CDBG and other federal housing funds, impact fees, and city general funds to assist in funding housing for the extremely low income, very low income and homeless populations.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
City of Antioch	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Brentwood	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Clayton	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Concord	The recommendation has been implemented
Town of Danville	The recommendation has been implemented
City of El Cerrito	The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future
City of Hercules	The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future
City of Lafayette	The recommendation has been implemented

City of Martinez	The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future
Town of Moraga	The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future
City of Oakley	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Orinda	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Pinole	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Pleasant Hill	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Pittsburg	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Richmond	The recommendation has been implemented
City of San Pablo	The recommendation requires further analysis
City of San Ramon	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Walnut Creek	The recommendation has been implemented

***Recommendation #4: The City should consider adopting a five-year comprehensive homeless plan, as soon as possible with a target date of January 1, 2019, to reduce the homeless population in the City.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
City of Antioch	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Brentwood	The recommendation has been implemented
City of Clayton	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Concord	The recommendation has been implemented
Town of Danville	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of El Cerrito	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Hercules	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Lafayette	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Martinez	The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future
Town of Moraga	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Oakley	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Orinda	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Pinole	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

City of Pleasant Hill	The recommendation requires further analysis
City of Pittsburg	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Richmond	The recommendation requires further analysis
City of San Pablo	The recommendation requires further analysis
City of San Ramon	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
City of Walnut Creek	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY REPORT 1708</b> <b>ANIMAL SHELTER SERVICES IN ANTIOCH AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>
--

**Recommendation #1: The Council should consider finding sustainable funding for all of the changes recommended by ARF to the Shelter, including those that the Shelter has already implemented.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Antioch City Council	The recommendation requires further analysis

**Recommendation #2: The Council should consider staggering the Shelter's hours of operation to include evening hours one day per week to permit those with daytime commitments the opportunity to visit the Shelter.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Antioch City Council	The recommendation requires further analysis

**Recommendation #3: If no qualified Antioch Shelter volunteer is available for this position, the Council should consider identifying funds to create a new position for a Volunteer/Rescue Coordinator.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Antioch City Council	The recommendation requires further analysis

**Recommendation #4: The Council should consider directing the Shelter to develop and implement strategies to enlist more volunteers.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Antioch City Council	The recommendation requires further analysis

**Recommendation #5: The Council should consider directing the Shelter to establish written policies and procedures consistent with those used by ARF regarding animal care.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Antioch City Council	The recommendation has been implemented

**Recommendation #6: The Council should consider authorizing the Shelter to hire an experienced full-time Director of Animal Services and identify the funds to do so.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Antioch City Council	The recommendation has not been implemented, but will be implemented in the future



**Recommendation #7: The Council should consider requiring all permanent staff be fully trained on Chameleon software as soon as reasonably possible.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Antioch City Council	The recommendation has not been implemented, but will be implemented in the future

**Recommendation #8: The Shelter should consider posting photos of all animals on its website within 24 hours of intake.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Antioch City Council	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

**Recommendation #9: The County Board of Supervisors should consider funding a study to examine the feasibility of establishing a County animal shelter in East County.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

**Recommendation #10: The County Board of Supervisors and the Antioch City Council should consider negotiating an MOU whereby the Shelter agrees to accept all animals. Those that are identified as non-city of Antioch animals should be regularly picked up and transported to a County Shelter by County Animal Control Officers.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
Antioch City Council	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

**Recommendation #11: The Council should consider directing the Shelter to collaborate with all accredited rescue groups to maximize rescues and adoptions.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Antioch City Council	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

**Recommendation #12: The Council should consider the selection of an independent community-based animal advisory group to routinely visit the Shelter to monitor animal welfare and Shelter conditions.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Antioch City Council	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY REPORT 1709</b> CONCORD NAVAL WEAPONS STATION REUSE Management and Reporting
--

***Recommendation #1: The Local Reuse Authority (LRA) should consider holding regular LRA meetings, separate from the City Council meetings.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Concord City Council	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable
Local Reuse Authority	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

***Recommendation #2: To increase transparency, the LRA should consider posting agendas, minutes and video of the LRA (including the CAC meetings) on its website.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Concord City Council	The recommendation has been implemented
Local Reuse Authority	The recommendation has been implemented

***Recommendation #3: The LRA should consider instructing staff to issue regular written periodic projects reports containing relevant information and current status, and to post the report on the LRA website to increase public awareness.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Concord City Council	The recommendation has not yet been implemented but will be implemented in the future
Local Reuse Authority	The recommendation has not yet been implemented but will be implemented in the future

***Recommendation #4: The LRA should consider creating a project execution plan in accordance with accepted program management standards as soon as possible, but no later than the completion of the specific plan.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Concord City Council	The recommendation has been implemented
Local Reuse Authority	The recommendation has been implemented

**Recommendation #5: The LRA should consider selecting a master developer prior to negotiating a Term Sheet in future phases of the project.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Concord City Council	The recommendation has not yet been implemented but will be implemented in the future
Local Reuse Authority	The recommendation has not yet been implemented but will be implemented in the future

**Recommendation #6: The LRA should consider using a project management software system which would include project cost forecasting capabilities.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Concord City Council	The recommendation has not yet been implemented but will be implemented in the future
Local Reuse Authority	The recommendation has not yet been implemented but will be implemented in the future

<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY REPORT 1710</b> LAW ENFORCEMENT USE OF FORCE AND MENTAL HEALTH AWARENESS
---

**Recommendation #1: The Sheriff should consider broadening and enhancing CIT training to educate all law enforcement officers.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Sheriff	The recommendation has been implemented

**Recommendation #2: The Sheriff should consider coordinating with the Contra Costa Police Chiefs Association in their effort to create additional CIT training in the County.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Sheriff	The recommendation has been implemented

**Recommendation #3: The Sheriff should consider researching methods to document the effectiveness of CIT training, and identifying funds to do so.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Sheriff	The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future

**Recommendation #4: The Sheriff should consider providing annual updates of CIT training course materials to police departments in the County, and identifying funds to do so.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Sheriff	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

**Recommendation #5: The Sheriff should consider conducting a feasibility study to determine the benefits of establishing additional MHET teams.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Sheriff	The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future

<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY REPORT 1711</b> <b>ALAMO PARKS PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT</b>
--

***Recommendation #1: The Board of Supervisors should consider developing a written, long-term plan for the CSA R7 funds.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation has been implemented

***Recommendation #2: The Board of Supervisors should consider instructing the MAC to use some of its CSA R7 funds to perform a survey of residents to ensure that long term plans for Alamo parks reflect the needs and concerns of the community.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

***Recommendation #3: Prior to funding any park projects, the Board of Supervisors should consider ensuring that improvements or land purchases are in accordance with proposed long-term CSA R7 plan and the County's General Plan.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation has been implemented

***Recommendation #4: The Board of Supervisors should consider replacing the new sign at the Miranda Avenue entrance of Livorna Park to match the other existing sign, which indicates park hours from sunrise to sunset.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

***Recommendation #5: The Board of Supervisors should consider directing the MAC to hold large events at Hap Magee Park, rather than Livorna Park.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

***Recommendation #6: To ensure that the MAC's recommendations are supported by the community, the Board of Supervisors should consider instructing the MAC to provide a history of community outreach efforts and feedback to support their recommendations.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation has been implemented

**CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CIVIL GRAND JURY REPORT 1712**  
**MORE SHELTER BEDS NEEDED FOR THE HOMELESS**  
**IN CONTRA COSTA COUNTY**

***Recommendation #1: The Board of Supervisors should consider instructing the Health Services Department to create and present a proposal outlining the cost of opening and running a shelter with emergency beds in East County.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

***Recommendation #2: The Board of Supervisors should consider finding a funding source to create and maintain a County-operated shelter in East County.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

***Recommendation #3: The Board of Supervisors should consider finding a funding source to create and maintain a County-operated CARE center in East County.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

***Recommendation #4: The Board of Supervisors should consider finding a sustainable funding source to increase the number of beds in existing County operated shelters.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

***Recommendation #5: The Board of Supervisors should consider finding a sustainable funding source to set up additional County-operated CARE centers.***

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

**Recommendation #6: The Board of Supervisors should consider expanding master lease programs.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation has been implemented

**Recommendation #7: The Board of Supervisors should consider looking for opportunities to create additional shelter beds by using unused warehouses, commercial buildings, or motels to make best use of existing unoccupied structures.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable

**Recommendation #8: The Board of Supervisors should consider asking the Health Services Department to create a plan for those frail homeless seniors who are demented or unable to take care of activities of daily living.**

RESPONDENT	RESPONSE
Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors	The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable